



## Attitude towards Green Brands and Green Purchase Intention: Moderating Role of Willingness to Pay Premium among Consumers in Kathmandu Valley

## Rajak Bishowkarma<sup>1</sup>,<sup>10</sup> Rumi Lohala<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>South Asian Institute of Management (SAIM) College, Kathmandu

### Article Info.

Corresponding Author Rajak Bishowkarma

*Email* rajakbishowkarma7@gmail.com

### Article History

Received: 19 May 2024 First Revised: 05 June, 2024 Second Revised: 11 June, 2024 Accepted: 20 June 2024

### Cite

Bishowkarma, R., & Lohala, R. (2024). Attitude towards green brands and green purchase intention: moderating role of willingness to pay premium among consumers in Kathmandu valley. *SAIM Journal of Social Science and Technology*, *1*(1), 41–52. https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.13577293

### Abstract

**Purpose:** The purpose of this study is to examine the moderating role of willingness to pay premium (WPPP) to attitude towards green brands (ATGB) and green purchase intention (GPI).

**Research Methods:** This study applied a quantitative research method, employing a cross-sectional survey with purposive sampling to gather data from 254 environmentally conscious consumers in Kathmandu Valley. Data analysis was performed by employing Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM).

**Finding:** The findings indicate that ATGB and WTPP significantly and positively impact GPI. However, the result found that WTPP does not significantly moderate the relation between ATGB and GPI.

**Practical implications:** This study could help managers to better understand consumer intention towards green brands and to develop effective marketing strategies that target consumers.

**Originality:** This paper is one of the first studies to explain moderating role of willingness of pay premium in relationship between attitude towards green brands and purchase intention by applying the ST and TPB in the Nepali context.

*Keywords:* Attitude towards green brands, green purchase intention, structural equation modelling, willingness to pay premium

### Introduction

Global warming and environmental pollution have spurred corporations to produce eco-friendly green products, with environmental concerns now at the forefront of organizational agendas (Sugandini et al., 2018). Both companies and consumers prioritize environmental protection, leading to a shift towards green production and consumption habits (Sreen et al., 2018). Customers increasingly value environmental issues, prompting companies to adopt sustainable practices (Verma et al., 2019). As awareness of environmental protection grows, consumers integrate sustainability into their daily lives, including food choices (Lu & Chi, 2018). Government regulations such as the E-Waste Rules of 2018 and consumer demand for environmental responsibility push businesses to adopt sustainable practices swiftly (Nguyen et al., 2018; Wang, 2017).



Scholars assert that consumers with knowledge about green products are more inclined to use and purchase them (Alam et al., 2019; Lai and Cheng, 2016). Thus, it is essential to investigate green purchase behaviors among green consumers.

GPI, a significant predictor of green purchase behavior, is also important in promoting sustainable/ green behavior. GPI refers to the desire of a customer to purchase green brands that meet their needs (Aulina & Yuliati, 2017). Furthermore, Dahai et al. (2022) documented that customers that have a positive perception of green products may be more interested in green purchasing intentions. Mamun et al., (2018) found that green purchase behavior is significantly predicted by intentions to acquire green products. Likewise, ATGB and WTPP are an important variables that significantly influences consumers' GPI which emphasizes the significance of businesses' efforts to establish and promote green brands in the marketplace. ATGB is defined as an individual's willingness to participate in pro-environmental actions and respond positively to green marketing strategies (Sheng et al., 2019). Research has shown that consumers' knowledge of environmentally friendly brands and products is associated positively with their attitude towards these brands (Grimmer et al., 2014). The concept of WTPP denotes a willingness to pay more for a certain service brand than for comparable alternatives (Casidy & Wymer, 2016). According to Mamun et al., (2018), the willingness to pay for green products can be considered a form of intention to purchase environmentally friendly items. One of the key driving factors for organization to implement eco-friendliness for their products and services is consumers' willingness to pay for green products which implies that WTPP can influence purchase intention. Despite the existing literatures, the moderating role of WTPP has remained understudied in relation between ATGPB and GPI. Thus, it is reasonable to investigate the moderating role WTPP in relationship between ATGB and GPI.

In Nepali context, research on green purchase intention are limited. First, previous studies focused

on green marketing, organic green food products, and perception of green products, green brand positioning, and attitudes towards green brands (Gautam & Pokhrel, 2023; Thakur, 2023). Second, Adhikari and Dangol (2019) discovered limited availability of environmentally friendly products in Nepal due to high prices and accessibility barriers. Third, despite the growing global concern for environmental protection, the consumption of green products in Nepal remains unsatisfactory (Sedhai & Khatri, 2023). Moreover, the market for eco-friendly products in Nepal has yet to achieve mainstream status (Ghimire, 2020). Due to the need of investigating green concern in Nepali context and research gaps in investigating moderating role of WTPP in relationship between ATGB and GPI. Drawing from the theory of planned behavior and signaling theory of WTPP in relation between ATGB and GPI among Nepali green consumers in Kathmandu Valley. This study offers valuable insights for managers to grasp consumer intentions towards green brands and craft effective marketing strategies.

### Literature Review and Hypotheses Development Relationship Between Variables Attitude towards Green brands (ATGB) and Green Purchase Intention (GPI)

The concept of ATGB has been defined by many scholars and researchers over the years. In general, an individual's attitude is a psychological process that decides whether they are in favor of or against a particular object (Eagly & Chaiken, 2007). On the other hand, GPI is defined as a person's willingness to choose environmentally friendly products over conventional ones as a way to show that they care about the environment (Chaudhary, 2018). The theoretical logic for the relationship between ATGB and GPI can be explained by TPB propounded by Ajzen (1991). TPB is a well-established theory of consumer behavior that helps predict and explain specific consumer behaviors (Ajzen, 1991). This theory states that intention of individual to purchase green brand is influenced by their ATGB, subjective norms, and

perceived behavioral control. In different services contexts, several researchers have applied TPB in the relationship between ATGB and GPI (Batool et al., 2023; Chaudhary & Bisai, 2018; Duong et al., 2022). Several researchers have found positive relationship between ATGB and GPI (Amoako et al., 2020; Dahai et al., 2022; Situmorang et al., 2021). The results of studies on the green market has shown that consumer attitudes towards environmentally friendly behavior significantly impact their intention to buy eco-friendly products. This paper argues that consumers' positive ATGB significantly enhance their likelihood of GPI. Based on theoretical and empirical ground, this paper formulates hypotheses:

## Hypothesis (H1): ATGB positively influences GPI.

### Attitude towards green brands (ATGB) and Green Purchase Intention (GPI): Moderating Role of Willingness Pay Premium (WTPP)

Willingness to pay refers to the highest price at or below which a customer will definitely purchase one unit of a product. The concept of WTPP denotes a willingness to pay more for a certain service brand than for comparable alternatives (Casidy & Wymer, 2016). This definition specifies the importance of brands and present of substitute to pay a premium. TPB explains the relationship between WTPP and GPI by highlighting how attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control influence behavioral intentions (Ajzen, 1991). It has been an effective tool for understanding factors affecting consumers' purchase intentions. Many researchers (Bhutto et al., 2021; Chaudhary, 2018) have found a positive relationship between WTPP and GPI, indicating that consumers willing to pay more for green products are more likely to intend to purchase them. This paper argues that consumers' WTPP significantly influence GPI. Based on theoretical and empirical ground, this paper formulates hypotheses:

### Hypothesis (H2): WTPP positively Influences GPI

The moderating role of WTPP in the relationship between ATGB and GPI is explained by Spence's signaling theory (1973), which suggests that signals convey information about characteristics, attributes, and intentions. Effective signals reduce information asymmetry, build trust, and align with consumer values, influencing purchasing behavior. Mehraj & Qureshi (2022) found that WTPP considerably moderates the relationship between ATGB and GPI. This indicate that when consumers have a high WTPP, their purchase intention (GPI) based on their positive attitude towards green behavior (ATGB) is stronger. This is because their WTPP serves as a reliable indicator of their commitment to green behavior. However, in studies on green consumer behavior, varied results have been documented regarding the WTPP for environmentally friendly products (Kirmani & Khan, 2018; Shahsavar et al., 2020). Similarly, Farzin (2023) discovered that consumers were prepared to pay extra for environmentally friendly products. This study argues that when consumers have a WTPP, it moderates their GPI based on their positive ATGB. Based on the argument, the hypotheses was developed:

# Hypothesis (H3): WTPP Moderates the Relationship between ATGB and GPI Figure 1

Conceptual Framework



SAIM Journal of Social Science and Technology

### **Research Methods Population and Sample**

The respondents involved in the study were environmentally conscious customers from Kathmandu Valley. The respondents either have bought eco-friendly brands or products before or are familiar with at least a few eco-friendly brand names. Likewise, due to fast urban growth and a rising population, the Kathmandu Valley has become a heavily populated urban region. While pinpointing the exact location of environmentally conscious consumers is challenging, urban areas with better education and access to information likely to have more of them. Therefore, the selection of Kathmandu Valley as a site was a rational decision. Due to the unavailability of a database for environmentally conscious consumers, researchers utilized a purposive sampling method to gather information from the participants. This paper argues that the purposive sampling technique is the most reasonable for selecting a sample from the population with inclusion criteria (Pokhrel & K.C., 2023). Finally, Based on Hair et al.'s (2016) recommendation, the sample size could vary from 185 to 370, the sample size for this study is determined at 254. Given the homogeneity of the population of green product users, the researchers presumed that this sample size would adequately reflect the population. The argument for sample size is aligned with previous studies (Pokhrel & K.C., 2023; Yadav & Pokhrel, 2023).

### Measures

To measure attitude towards green brand and green purchase intention moderating role of willingness to pay premium, this paper used three measures with 12 items. The surveys utilized a 5-point Likert scale spanning from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). The researcher employed adapted questionnaires from various sources to measure different constructs. The measurement of ATGB consists of five items adapted from the research conducted by Chaudhary and Bisai (2018). A sample item included: 'I believe that green brands/products are environmentally friendly'. To measure WTPP, the researcher adapted questionnaire Chaudhary and Bisai (2018) with three items documented. A sample item included: 'I would pay more for a green product that is making efforts to be environmentally sustainable'. GPI is measured using a four-item scale adapted questionnaire from Mohd Suki (2016). A sample item included: 'I will consider buying any green brands' product out of concern for the environment'.

### **Data Collection Procedure**

Data were collected in the form of printed and digital questionnaire from 2022 December to 2023 January. The respondents were briefed about the objective and confidentiality of collected data. From 300 questionnaires distributed, 280 were returned, and 254 of these were used for analysis.

### **Results and Discussion**

### **Demographic Profile**

Demographic variables including age, gender, occupation, and education were examined to understand the characteristics of the 254 person sample. The majority of respondents in this study were male (n=140, 55.1%). The majority of respondents were from age group of 20-30 (n=213, 83.9%). Most of the respondents have completed their bachelor level (n=172, 67.7%). Other respondents who have completed Bachelor level are more than half of the total respondents. Finally, the majority of respondents have a monthly income exceeding 50,000 (n=117, 46.1%).

### **Common Method Biases**

To address method variance, this paper used surveys authored by different individuals. After collecting data, the traditional Herman single factor technique was applied, and found un-rotated single factor showed 35.27%. With Herman's singlefactor test yielding a value below 50%, method variance is unlikely to affect the structural model results (Podsakoff et al., 2003).Thus, researchers can conclude no common method biases in the dataset.

**Measurement Model** 

Researchers used reliability and validity

techniques to estimate the measurement model

(Bido et al., 2014). Both Cronbach Alpha (CA) and

Composite Reliability (CR) values surpassed the

threshold of 0.744, confirming reliability (Hair et

al., 2011). Convergent validity was evaluated using

Average Variance Extracted (AVE), ranging from

0.535 to 0.670 across constructs, which surpasses

the recommended threshold of 0.50 (Fornell &

### **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)**

Structural equation modeling (SEM) is commonly utilized to investigate causal relationships among latent constructs (Hair et al., 2016). There are two main approaches to SEM: covariance-based structural equation modeling (CB-SEM) and variance-based structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). In this paper, CB-SEM was utilized to assess both the measurement and structural models, aligning with the approach used in a previous study by Pokhrel et al. (2022).

### Table 1

#### Larcker, 1981). However, factors with low loadings (ATGB and GPI) were excluded from the model (see Table 1). Constructs Indicators Loadings AVE CR (rho a) CR (rho c) Attitude Towards Green Brand ATGB1 0.896 0.627 0.745

0.888

0.849

0.784

0.732

0.796

0.792

0.851

0.624

0.662

0.736

0.749

ATGB2

GPI2

GPI3

GPI4

WTPP1

WTPP2

WTPP3

Reliability and Validity of Model

Green Purchase Intention

Willingness to Pay Premium

### Note. Based on authors' calculation

### **Discriminant Validity**

Fornell and Larcker's (1981) criteria and the Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT) were employed to assess discriminant validity. This criterion confirms validity when the square root of a construct's AVE exceeds its correlation with

all other constructs. The study found that the square roots of AVEs were higher compared to correlations with other constructs, meeting Fornell and Larcker's criteria. However, the HTMT values exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.90 according to Teo et al. (2008).

### Table 2

Discriminant Validity (Fornell and Larcker's Criterion and HTMT Ratios)

Constructs		2	2	3
1.	Attitude towards Green Brands	0.892	0.631	0.317
2.	Green Purchase Intention	0.463	0.790	0.548
3.	Willingness to Pay Premium	0.233	0.421	0.813

Note. Based on authors' calculation; ATGB: Attitude towards Green Brand; WTPP: Willingness to Pay Premium; GPI: Green Purchase Intention; the values below the diagonal represent Fornell and Larcker's criteria, while those above represent HTMT ratios.

### **Structural Model**

Before estimating the stated hypotheses using the structural model, this study assessed an assessment of multicol-linearity assumptions. The assessment demonstrated that all Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values remained under 5, showing that multicol-linearity is not a problem (Hair et al., 2019). Therefore, the structural model was estimated.

Alpha

0.744

0.704

0.744

0.886

0.832

0.854

### Figure 2

Structural Model



First, H1 investigates whether ATGB positively affect green purchase intentions. The findings indicated that ATGB significantly influence GPI ( $\beta = 0.390$ , p < 0.05). Therefore, H1 is supported. Second, H2 investigates whether WTPP positively influences GPI. The result shows that WTPP significantly influences GPI ( $\beta = 0.332$ , p < 0.05). Therefore, H2 was supported. Finally,

the paper evaluated the moderating effect of WTPP on the relationship between ATGB and GPI. The results indicated a significant negative moderating effect of WTPP on the relationship between ATGB and GPI ( $\beta$ =0.010, t = 0.163, p < 0.05) not supporting H3. The Table 3 presents a summary of the moderation analysis.

### Table 3

Hypotheses	Standardized Beta (β)	T statistics	P values	Decision
H1. ATGB -> GPI	0.390	6.473	0.000	Supported
H2. WTPP-> GPI	0.332	5.640	0.000	Supported
H3. WTPP*ATGB -> GPI	0.010	0.163	0.871	Unsupported

Results of Structural Model

**Note.** Based on authors' calculation; ATGB: Attitude towards Green Brand; WTPP: Willingness to Pay Premium; GPI: Green Purchase Intention

### Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the moderating role of WTPP on ATGB and GPI. The results of the study concluded that WTPP does not significantly moderate the relationship between ATGB and GPI.

First, the study examined the relationship between ATGB and GPI. It discovered a significant influence of ATGB on GPI, indicating that as consumers develop more positive attitudes towards green brands, their intentions to purchase these products also increase. This finding aligns with previous studies (Batool et al., 2023; Chaudhary & Bisai, 2018; Duong et al., 2022), which support the idea that positive attitudes toward environmentally friendly products lead to a greater inclination to purchase them. This result is consistent with the theory of planned behavior by Ajzen (1991), which suggests that attitudes toward a behavior, along with subjective norms and perceived behavioral control, influence behavioral intentions. The study concluded that a positive attitude towards green brands contributes to the intention to purchase these products. Therefore, companies should enhance their customers' green brand associations and attitudes, as this approach can effectively boost their customers' willingness to purchase green products.

Furthermore, the significant impact of WTPP on GPI in this study is consistent with previous research (Bhutto et al., 2021; Chaudhary, 2018; Prakash & Pathak, 2017). It suggests that the amount consumers are willing to pay extra for environmentally friendly products directly influences their intention to choose such products. This finding is closely related to the argument of the theory of planned behavior, as it reflects the influence of perceived behavioral control. According to the theory, individuals are more likely to engage in a behavior if they perceive that they have control over it. This study concludes consumers' WTPP for green brands demonstrates their perceived control over their purchasing decisions and their commitment to environmental values. Second, the study investigated the moderating role of WTPP on ATGB and GPI. However, contrary to previous findings (Farzin, 2023; Mehraj & Qureshi, 2022), this study found that WTPP did not positively influence this relationship. The results suggest that the relationship was weaker for consumers who were willing to pay a premium for green brands. This implies that consumers who have a positive attitude towards green product consumption are deterred by the willingness to pay a premium for eco-friendly products. This paper attempted to explain the result using signaling theory and the theory of planned behavior. The initiation of an attitude towards a green brand sends a signal to consumers, which helps to change the moderating role of WTPP in the relationship between ATGB and GPI. Furthermore, there is currently significant pressure from policymakers and society regarding sustainability issues (Lopes et al., 2022; Nogueira et al., 2022). As a result, consumers may feel compelled to purchase greener products, altering their consumption habits. Moreover, Martin et al., (2007) noted that customers are inclined to pay

more or accept higher prices if they are satisfied with the service. Hence, it is essential for the company to not only disclose the price but also explain the reasoning behind it.

### Conclusion

The pressure to consume green products is becoming increasingly noticeable in our daily lives. However, recent financial crises and the pandemic have heightened consumers' value consciousness. The results of the study concluded that WTPP does not significantly moderate the relationship between ATGB and GPI. Therefore, this result found that WTPP for green products is not a significant factor that influences the relationship between their ATGB and their intention to purchase those brands. This study also shows that consumers in Nepal are unwilling to pay a higher price or premium for environmentally friendly products, even if they have positive attitudes towards green brands and intentions to purchase green products. However, the various pressures are driving us towards increased green product consumption, which is vital for achieving sustainability goals. To encourage customers to alter their consumption behavior, the willingness to pay extra for green products must be justified and motivated. Politicians and marketers have a crucial role to play in this effort.

### **Implications for the Study**

### **Managerial Implications**

It is expected that this study will provide and managers with marketers insightful information. First, the findings of this study suggest that the companies' ATGB regarded as a crucial component in GPI, managers should invest in strengthening positive associations with their green brands. Therefore, companies should communicate to consumers that they are essential in fostering a sustainable business environment amidst intense competition. Second, this study indicates that consumers WTPP for environmentally friendly products are more likely to intend to purchase these products. Businesses can capitalize on this by positioning their green products as premium

offerings, emphasizing their unique environmental benefits and quality. Furthermore, introducing tiered pricing strategies, where higher-priced options include additional environmental benefits or certifications, can appeal to consumers with a high WTPP, thereby increasing overall sales and market share. Third, this study further suggests that companies' efforts should be directed towards reinforcing the perceived benefits derived from green products, which may increase WTPP for the green brands/products and must have a strong brand identity which may be done by highlighting the benefits of green their brands and their commitment to reduce environmental impact.

# Limitations and Direction for Future Research

Although this study has produced a number of insightful insights, it is crucial to exercise caution when interpreting the results. First, this study employed a cross-sectional survey approach to accomplish its research objectives. As a result, this study is correlational, and longitudinal research would be useful to look at how customers' opinions and behavioral intentions evolve over time. Second, the results of this study are specific to consumers in Nepal. Future research could explore whether these results hold true in other significant emerging markets. Third, this study investigated the moderating role of WTPP on ATGB and GPI. Future studies should consider other moderating factors such as perceived environmental benefits, product availability, convenience, and product quality to promote different groups' WTPP prices for eco-friendly brands. Fourth, the preceding part deliberated on the implications for marketers, taking into account the findings. However, there is no empirical evidence to support the efficacy of these implications. Consequently, in order to confirm the efficacy of the marketing techniques suggested in the current study, future researchers should utilize it as a baseline and conduct additional research.

### References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179-211. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/074959789190020T
- Alam, S. S., Lin, C. Y., Ahmad, M., Omar, N. A., & Ali, M. H. (2019). Factors affecting energyefficient household products buying intention: Empirical study. *Environmental and Climate Technologies*, 23(1), 84-97. https://sciendo. com/article/10.2478/rtuect-2019-0006.
- Amoako, G. K., Dzogbenuku, R. K., & Abubakari, A. (2020). Do green knowledge and attitude influence the youth's green purchasing? Theory of planned behavior. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 69*(8) 1609-1626. https://www. emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ IJPPM-12-2019-0595/full/html
- Aulina, L., & Yuliati, E. (2017). The effects of green brand positioning, green brand knowledge, and attitude towards green brand on green products purchase intention. In *International Conference on Business and Management Research (ICBMR 2017)* (pp. 548-557). https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/ icbmr-17/25887803
- Baiquni, A. M., & Ishak, A. (2019). The green purchase intention of Tupperware products: The role of green brand positioning. *Jurnal Siasat Bisnis*, 23(1), 1–14. https://journal.uii. ac.id/jsb/article/view/12033
- Batool, S., Arshad, M. R., Gul, R., & Shahid, M. (2023). Role of green customer value, awareness of environmental consequences, green brand positioning and attitude toward green brand in influencing green purchase intention. *International Journal of Social Science & Entrepreneurship*, 3(1), 605-621. https://ijsse.salmaedusociety.com/index.php/ ijsse/article/view/127
- Belch, G. E., & Belch, M. A. (2018). Advertising and Promotion: An Integrated Marketing Communications Perspective. McGraw-Hill.https://thuvienso.hoasen.edu.vn/ handle/123456789/8039

- Bhutto, M. H., Shaikh, A. A., & Sharma, R. (2021). Factors affecting the consumers' purchase intention and willingness-to-pay more for electric-vehicle technology. https://aisel. aisnet.org/iceb2021/4/
- Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2016). A study of consumers' willingness to pay for green products. *Journal of Advanced Management Science*, 4(3), 211–215. https://www.joams.com/ uploadfile/2015/0407/20150407053353490. pdf
- Casidy, R., & Wymer, W. (2016). A risk worth taking: Perceived risk as moderator of satisfaction, loyalty, and willingness-to-pay premium price. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 32, 189-197. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0969698916301539
- Chaudhary, R. (2018). Green buying behavior in India: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 9(2), 179–192. https://www. emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ JGR-12-2017-0058/full/html/1000
- Chaudhary, R., & Bisai, S. (2018). Factors influencing green purchase behavior of millennials in India. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 29(5), 798–812. https://doi. org/10.1108/ MEQ-02-2018-0023
- Dahai, W., Weisstein, F. L., Duan, S., & Choi, P. (2022). Impact of ambivalent attitudes on green purchase intentions: The role of negative moods. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 46(1), 182–199. https:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ ijcs.12663
- De Silva, M., Wang, P., & Kuah, A. T. (2021). Why wouldn't green appeal drive purchase intention? Moderation effects of consumption values in the UK and China. *Journal of Business Research, 122,* 713-724. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0148296320300229

- Duong, C. D., Doan, X. H., Vu, D. M., Ha, N. T., & Dam, K. V. (2022). The role of perceived environmental responsibility and environmental concern on shaping green purchase intention. *Vision*, 0(0). https://doi. org/10.1177/09722629221092117
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (2007). The advantages of an inclusive definition of attitude. *Social Cognition*, 25(5), 582–602. https://doi. org/10.1521/ SOCO.2007.25.5.582
- Farzin, M., Shababi, H., Shirchi Sasi, G., Sadeghi, M., & Makvandi, R. (2023). The determinants of eco-fashion purchase intention and willingness to pay. *Spanish Journal of Marketing-ESIC*, 27(3), 348-366. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/SJME-07-2022-0158/full/html
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(3) 382– 388. https://doi.org/10.2307/3150980
- Gautam, D., & Pokhrel, L. (2023). Green brand positioning and attitude towards green Brands: Mediating role of green brand knowledge among green consumers in the Kathmandu Valley. *Quest Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 5(1), 35-44.
- Ghimire, S. K. (2020). Attitudes and purchase intention towards eco-friendly products among Nepalese youth. *International Journal* of Operational Research/Nepal, 9(1), 33-43. https://orsn.org.np/content\_files/IJORN%20 %209th\_sAnUmAn6068a607f1caf. pdf#page=44
- Grimmer, M., & Woolley, M. (2014). Green marketing messages and consumers purchase intentions: Promoting personal versus environmental benefits. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 20(4), 231-250. https://doi. org/10.1080/13527266.2012.684065
- Hair Jr, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 109, 101-110. https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S0148296319307441

- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2-24. https://www.emerald. com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203
- Hair, J., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 117(3), 442-458. https://www.emerald.com/insight/ content/doi/10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130/ full/html
- Kautish, P., Paul, J., & Sharma, R. (2019). The moderating influence of environmental consciousness and recycling intentions on green purchase behavior. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 228*, 1425–1436. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.389
- Khan, M. S., Saengon, P., Alganad, A. M. N., Chongcharoen, D., & Farrukh, M. (2020). Consumer green behaviour: An approach towards environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Development*, 28(5), 1168-1180. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ abs/10.1002/sd.2066
- Kirmani, M. D., & Khan, M. N. (2018). Decoding willingness of Indian consumers to pay a premium on green products. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 7(1), 73-90. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/SAJBS-11-2016-0091/full/html
- Kotler, P., Keller, K., Ang, S., Tan, C., & Leong, S. (2018). Marketing management: An Asian perspective. https://www.academia.edu/ download/58052402/marketingmanagementan-asian-perspective-5th-edit.pdf
- Krystallis, A., & Chryssohoidis, G. (2005). Consumers'willingnesstopay for organic food: Factors that affect it and variation per organic product type. *British Food Journal*, 107(5), 320-343. https://www.emerald.com/insight/ content/doi/10.1108/00070700510596901/ full/html?src=recsys

- Lai, C. K., & Cheng, E. W. (2016). Green purchase behavior of undergraduate students in Hong Kong. *The Social Science Journal*, 53(1), 67-76. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0362331915000920
- Lee, K. (2008). Opportunities for green marketing: Young consumers. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 26(6), 573–586. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/02634500810902839
- Lopes, J. M., Gomes, S., Pacheco, R., Monteiro, E., & Santos, C. (2022). Drivers of sustainable innovation strategies for increased competition among companies. *Sustainability*, 14(9), 5471. https://www. mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/9/5471
- Lu, L., & Chi, C. G. Q. (2018). An examination of the perceived value of organic dining. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 30(8), 2826-2844. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/IJCHM-05-2017-0267/full/html
- Mamun, A. A., Fazal, S. A., Ahmad, G. B., Yaacob, M. R., & Mohamad, M. R. (2018). Willingness to pay for environmentally friendly products among low-income households along Coastal Peninsular Malaysia. *Sustainability*, *10*(5), 1-19. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/5/1316
- Martin-Consuegra, D., Molina, A., & Esteban, A. (2007). An integrated model of price, satisfaction and loyalty: An empirical analysis in the service sector. *Journal of Product & Brand Management, 16*(7), 459-468. https://www.emerald.com/insight/ content/doi/10.1108/02652320710754033/ full/html?fullSc=1&mbSc=1
- Mehraj, D., & Qureshi, I. H. (2022). Does green brand positioning translate into green purchase intention? A mediation-moderation model. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(7), 3166-3181. https://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.3069

- Mohd Suki, N. (2016). Green product purchase intention: Impact of green brands, attitude, and knowledge. *British Food Journal*, *118*(12), 2893–2910. https://www.emerald. com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/BFJ-06-2016-0295/full/html
- Nguyen, H. V., Nguyen, C. H., & Hoang, T. T. B. (2019). Green consumption: Closing the intention-behavior gap. *Sustainable Development*, 27(1), 118–129. https://doi. org/10.1002/sd.1875
- Nguyen, T. N., Lobo, A., & Nguyen, B. K. (2018). Young consumers' green purchase behaviour in an emerging market. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 26(7), 583-600. https://www. tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/096525 4X.2017.1318946
- Nogueira, E., Gomes, S., & Lopes, J. M. (2022). The key to sustainable economic development: A triple bottom line approach. *Resources*, *11*(5), 46. https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9276/11/5/46
- Norazah Mohd Suki, N. M. S. (2016). Green product purchase intention: impact of green brands, attitude, and knowledge. https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/ full/10.5555/20173016458
- Ogiemwonyi, O., & Harun, A. B. (2021). Theory of planned behaviour approach to understand pro-environmental behaviour among young green consumers in Malaysia. *Israel Journal of Ecology and Evolution, 67*(3-4), 168-181. https://brill.com/view/journals/ijee/67/3-4/ article-p168\_168.xml
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879
- Pokhrel, L. (2022). The frequency of mobile banking use during covid-19. *NRB Economic Review*, 34(1), 24-48.

- Pokhrel, L., & KC, A. (2023). Mobile banking service quality and continuance intention: mediating role of satisfaction: a two-stage structural equation modeling-artificial neural network approach. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 42(3), 389–413. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/IJBM-11-2022-0512/full/html
- Pokhrel, L., Bista, B., & Giri, B. (2022). Workplace bullying and turnover intention: Moderating role of abusive supervision among employees of Nepali commercial banks. *Quest Journal* of Management and Social Sciences, 4(2), 260-272.
- Prakash, G., & Pathak, P. (2017). Intention to buy eco-friendly packaged products among young consumers of India: A study on developing nation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *141*, 385–393. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j. jclepro.2016.09.116
- Rahi, S., & Abd. Ghani, M. (2019). Integration of DeLone and McLean and selfdetermination theory in internet banking continuance intention context. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, 27*(3), 512-528. https://www. emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ IJAIM-07-2018-0077/full/html
- Sedhai, P., & Khatri, S. B. (2023). Perception of Millennials towards Eco-Friendly Products in Kathmandu Valley. *Journal of Business and Social Sciences Research*, 8(2), 77-93. https:// ace.edu.np/wp-content/uploads/Perceptionof-Millennials-towards-77-93.pdf
- Shahsavar, T., Kubeš, V., & Baran, D. (2020). Willingness to pay for eco-friendly furniture based on demographic factors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 250, 119466. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0959652619343367
- Sheng, G., Xie, F., Gong, S., & Pan, H. (2019). The role of cultural values in green purchasing intention: Empirical evidence from Chinese consumers. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 43(3), 315-326. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12513

- Situmorang, T. P., Indriani, F., Simatupang, R. A., & Soesanto, H. (2021). Brand positioning and repurchase intention: The effect of attitude toward green brand. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8*(4), 491–499. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021. vol8.no4.0491
- Spence, M. 1973. Job market signaling. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87, 355-374
- Sreen, N., Purbey, S., & Sadarangani, P. (2018). Impact of culture, behavior and gender on green purchase intention. *Journal of Retailing* and Consumer Services, 41, 177-189. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0969698917304071
- Sriwaranun, Y., Gan, C., Lee, M., & Cohen, D. A. (2015). Consumers' willingness to pay for organic products in Thailand. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 42(5), 480-510. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/ doi/10.1108/IJSE-09-2013-0204/full/html
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A., & Kalof, L. (1999). A value-belief-norm theory of support for social movements: The case of environmentalism. *Human Ecology Review*, 6(2), 81-97. https://www.jstor.org/ stable/24707060
- Sugandini, D., Mustafa El Qadri, Z., Kustyadji, G., & Muafi, M. (2018). Employee engagement in entrepreneurship management: SMEs cases. Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal, 24(2), 1–8. http://eprints.upnyk.ac.id/17901/
- Thakur, P.K. (2023). Green Marketing and Consumer Purchase Intentions for Fast Moving Consumer Goods in Kathmandu (Doctoral dissertation). https://elibrary.tucl. edu.np/handle/123456789/20481

- Thapa, G. (2019). Consumers' Perception towards Green Products in Nepal. *NCC Journal*, 4(1), 47–57.
- Verma, V. K., Chandra, B., & Kumar, S. (2019). Values and ascribed responsibility to predict consumers' attitude and concern towards green hotel visit intention. *Journal of Business Research*, 96, 206-216. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0148296318305733
- Wang, H. J. (2017). A brand-based perspective on differentiation of green brand positioning: A network analysis approach. *Management Decision*, 55(7), 1460-1475. https://www. emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ MD-04-2016-0251/full/html
- Yadav, M. R., & Pokhrel, L. (2023). ChatGPT behaviours among Nepalese users: An application of Hedonic Motivation System Adoption Model. *Journal of Business and Social Sciences Research*, 8(2), 1-14
- Yazdanpanah, M., & Forouzani, M. (2015). Application of the theory of planned behaviour to predict Iranian students' intention to purchase organic food. Journal of Cleaner Production, 107, 342-352. https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S095965261500195X
- Zhang, Q., Zhao, Q., Zhao, X., & Tang, L. (2019). On the introduction of green product to a market with environmentally conscious consumers. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 139*(C), 106190. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106190